Faculty Committee on Online Education

Wednesday Oct 14, 2020 12-1pm via Zoom 978 2970 6229.

Please email public comments to: tsalvarado@pasadena.edu

# **Agenda**

1. Call to Order at 12:03 pm.
2. Public comments- none.
3. Approve agenda for 10/14/20- Kathy moved, Sandy 2nd. Unanimous approval.
4. Approve minutes from 9/23/20- Shelley moved, Albert 2nd. Unanimous approval.
5. Chair’s Report

Update on Campus DE Meeting- minutes attached to calendar invite.

1. Old Business
   1. Goals for 2020-2021- we will vote on these in next meeting.
   2. Revise Recommendation 107-

Rhea recommended Ebscohost, peer review journal articles to do literature review. Suzanne will share an article. Sandy recommended Quality Matters, Journal of Online Learning, “One Size Does not Fit All”. Joe recommended an article by Sorensen on online instruction, class size, and instructor performance.

Rhea recommended we move forward with this Rec as-is.

Albert moved. Mark 2nd. Unanimous vote, with exception of Kathy, who voted nay.

Kathy- would like to have more recent lit review.

Albert- face to face should be the same as online. Thea- there are other documents that may address that.

1. New Business
2. DE Surveys were shared for feedback. The notes below will be shared with Campus DE Committee.
   * + 1. Faculty Survey

Kathy- ways that we can get support (ie student workers who can caption videos). Add to question 9- knowing how to use basic computer functions.

Sergio- input from DE faculty: what would you like TA responsibilities to be for an LGI class?

Sandy- On question 9, there should be a difference between access and ability. Mark states that applies for all of the criteria.

Joe suggested putting questions 5 and 18 closer together.

* + - 1. Student Survey-

Kathy- looks more like focus group questions.

Shelley- what’s the data we’re looking for?

Mark- The surveys will be useless unless it gives us useful information.

Kathy- it is important for students to distinguish between classes, needs to be countable.

David- is the intent of this for the administration?

Mark- be cautious with the use of the information.

Sergio- differentiate between newer and more seasoned online instructors.

Sandy- investigating support services, such as DSPS.

Rhea- 9 a-g are good questions, because it is about the online student experience.

Kathy- include questions about student-student contact: friends, group work.

Joe-aim is to find ways to improve online format, not to evaluate class.

Suzanne- under 9, something along the lines of preferred way to access course material, receive feedback (rubric, comments, video/audio feedback).

Kathy- who is the survey for?

Rhea- when do they access course, how often? (use analytics)

Suzanne- is this potentially flawed data?

Shelley- is this from administrative retreat? Is this from focus group?

David- is this intended to be anonymous? How many online classes before? First on?

1. DE AP- first read.
2. Revise Recommendation 111- tabled for next meeting.
3. Announcements
   1. Rec 110, 113 on future agendas.
   2. Next meeting is Wed. Oct 28th 12-1pm.
4. Adjournment at 1:03 pm.